

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,250	12/04/2001	Steve Lee	LSI-108	5052
75	90 04/14/2003			
Kevin D. Erickson Pauley Petersen Kinne & Fejer Suite 365			EXAMINER	
			TSIDULKO, MARK	
2800 West Higg			ARTIBUT	
Hoffman Estate:	s, IL 60195		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2875	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			x			
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/006,250	LEE ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mark Tsidulko	b the correspondence address	<u>.</u>			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a report within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	<u>anuary 2003</u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	nce except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>9,11 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2002 is/are: a)		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		approved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	TIME OF .					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	110/a)_(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority diffact of the total of	113(4) (4) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		olication No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second sec	ty documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage	:			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has bee	n received.				
Attachment(s)	priority under 55 5.5.5. 3;	3 120 and/or 121,				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2875

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The submission of the amendment filed on 1/14/03 is acknowledged. At this point all claims left unchanged and are at issue in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **1-9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (U.S.6,247,829) in vew of Henning et al.(U.S. 4,598,229) and Hilderman (U.S. 5,030,100).

2. Referring to Claims **1**, **4**, **5** Lee discloses (Fig.7) a decorative light bulb [30] having an outer surface forming a decorative shape. Inert gas within the bulb creates ethereal electrical arcing having a color (col.2, lines 23-29).

Lee discloses the instant claimed invention except for:

- a surface feature formed on an outer surface of bulb and having an inner surface;
- a coating applied on the inner surface of the surface feature for the second color along an inner surface of the surface feature.

Hilderman discloses (Fig. 4, col.5, lines 26-39) a lighted globe having spherical body and a surface feature [26] mounted on an outer surface of spherical body. The surface feature has an

Art Unit: 2875

inner surface and outer surface, which provides an enhanced appearance of the earth's surface showing a plurality of contoured continents.

Henning et al. disclose a lamp having a coating (col.1, lines 36-38) on an inner surface.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the coating of Henning et al. on the inner surface of the surface feature of Hilderman for decorative light bulb of Lee in order to obtain the colored ethereal electrical arcing along the inner surface of surface feature.

- 3. Referring to Claim 2 Lee discloses (Fig.7) a decorative light bulb having a plug [21] removably connected with respect to a base.
- 4. Referring to Claim 3 Lee lacks a showing of a plurality of lighting modules. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of light bulbs since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.
- 5. Referring to Claims **6-8** Lee teaches that a lamp apparatus allows interchangeability of plugs with a bulb having a different size, shape and configuration (col.2, lines 27-29).

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide different decorative shapes of the bulbs as taught by Lee for purpose of aesthetic appearance.

Art Unit: 2875

6. Referring to Claim **9** It is well known in the art and technology that the thickness of the phosphor coating on the inner surface of the light bulb is thin enough to be invisible from the outside of the bulb.

Claims 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (U.S.6,247,829) in vew of Henning et al.(U.S. 4,598,229) and Hilderman (U.S. 5,030,100).

7. Referring to Claim **10** Lee discloses ((Fig.7) a decorative light bulb [30] having an outer surface forming a decorative shape. Inert gas within the bulb creates ethereal electrical arcing having a color (col.2, lines 23-29).

Lee discloses the instant claimed invention except for:

- bulb has outer surface forming a globe;
- a plurality of contoured continents having an inner surface and formed on the outer surface of the bulb;
- a phosphor coating applied on the inner surface of the contoured continents and resulting in electrical arcing having a green color.

Hilderman discloses (Fig. 4, col.5, lines 26-39) a lighted globe having spherical body and a surface feature [26] mounted on an outer surface of spherical body. The surface feature has an inner surface and outer surface, which provides an enhanced appearance of the earth's surface showing a plurality of contoured continents.

Henning et al. disclose a lamp having a green-emitting phosphor coating (col.1, lines 36-38) on an inner surface.

Art Unit: 2875

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the green-emitting phosphor coating of Henning et al. on inner surface of surface feature of Hilderman for decorative light bulb of Lee in order to obtain green color along the inner surface of the contoured continents.

8. Referring to Claim 11 Lee discloses a decorative light bulb (Fig.7) made of translucent glass. Lee also shows that bulb may be made of suitable material being transparent or translucent, of any size, shape and color (col.4, lines 61-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the decorative bulb wherein the outer surface and a plurality of contoured continents are formed of a translucent colored glass.

9. Claims **12-18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee, Henning et al and Hilderman.

These references in a combination disclose structure of decorative light bulb but do not disclose a method of manufacturing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to take the steps of structure of decorative light bulb above and provide a method of manufacturing of this bulb since a prior art of record teaches or suggests:

- Lee shows a bulb whose outer surface is formed into a decorative shape (Fig.7) that may have different shape and configuration (col.2, lines 27-29) and inert gas

Art Unit: 2875

(col.2, lines 23-29) within the bulb, a plug removably connected with respect to a base;

- Hilderman shows using a surface feature [26] having an inner and outer surfaces;
- Henning et al. shows a phosphor coating (col.1, lines 36-38).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 1/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

10. There is no showing of facts to establish reduction to practice prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence. Applicants have sworn behind the Patented date of June 19, 2001. In order for the Declaration to be effective, applicants must swear behind the filing date (Jan.5, 2000) and then provide evidence of due diligence i.e. if they invented the subject matter prior to Jan.5, 2000, why did it take until December 2001 to file for the patent? What was occurring between Jan.5, 2000 and Dec. 10, 2001? These questions are concerned with the due diligence process. Applicants should consult with the MPEP (section 715).

#### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2875

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (703)308-1326. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and

(703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

M.T.

March 24, 2003

Sandra O'chon

Page 7

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800